

HSH:WBH

June l., 195h

Mr. Ludwig Rosenstein 111 Sutter Street San Francisco 4, California

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Dear Mr. Rosenstein:

Your letter of April 28, 1951, concerning Leslie Salt Company has been turned over to me for reply. The question raised in your letter is an interesting one, and as a result I did some calculations to assure myself that the situation presented no danger. Although we had considered this in the past, no computation had been made because the possibility of excessive contamination seemed very remote. In my calculations, I have made a few assumptions based on the best information available to me. These are:

- 1. That the evaporation rate from the ponds averages about how per year.
- 2. That your company has about 6 square miles of evaporation surface.
- 3. The total measured fallout activity in the San Francisco area during the twelve month period of calender 1952 was 1100 d/m/ft² of surface. This breaks down as follows:

Spring series - Nevada (Tumbler - Snapper) 600 d/m/ft²
Fall series - Pacific (Ivy) 500 d/m/ft²
Total fallout activity as of 1/1/53 1100 d/m/ft²

h. The total activity to fall on 6 square miles (150 x 10^6 ft²) 165 x 10^9 d/m.

Total activity per ton of salt:

$$\frac{16.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ d/m}}{8.25 \times 10^5 \text{ tons of salt}} = 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ d/m/ton}$$

MEDINE, HENTI 3 SM

5. Radioactivity naturally present in sea water:

Total sodium chloride 27.3 grams/1
Total natural activity (K) 562 d/m/1
Natural activity in evaporated NaCl 20 d/m/gram

or $220 \times 10^5 \, d/m/ton.$

On the basis of the above assumptions and calculations, it appears that the final salt products would contain radioactivity from fallout which would approach only 1% of the naturally occurring radioactivity of sea salt. This could not possibly be harmful.

I hope that the above information is satisfactory. Do not hesitate to communicate if we can be of further assistance to you.

Very truly yours,

Merril Eisenbud, Director Health and Safety Laboratory

CC: Dr. Walter Claus, Wash. Div. Bio & Med. v Dr. L. Machta, US Weather Bureau, Wash.

MEMO ROUTE SLIP Form AEC-93 (Rev. May 14, 19-7)		See me about this.	For concur	For action.
		Note and return.	For signature.	For information.
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS		
Madian	DATE			
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS		
	DATE			
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS		
	DATE			
FROM (Name and unit) Dunca Clerk	Over mittel but the seem to be no verse the for any real concern a Cent The refly.			
7 JUL 9 1954		USE OTHER SIDE FOR ADDITIONA	L REMARKS 16-	-56887-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

#153

US DOE ARCHIVES 326 U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSIG.

RG _ 0.9E _ HIS TOX. AN _ (0.8M)

Collection _ 1/52

Box _ 3365

Folder _ /2